CLC STEM 12

Category (Mark)	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Please come speak
	5 4.5	4 3.5	3 2.5	to Schaub
The boring details Mark Student: /5	My DP was handed in on time, single paged, double spaced, 12 pt. font, consisting of only 2 paragraphs, and was less than 320 words long. Discussion Point Question is SINGULAR . One topic.	My DPQ is missing one of the elements in the box described as "Excellent" Missing:	My DPQ is missing two of the elements in the box described as "Excellent" Missing: Missing:	Although boring, these are clearly a lot of easy marks and I shall pull up my socks on the next one and do better!
The first paragraph <u>Mark</u> Student: /5	The first paragraph clearly and succinctly articulates a topic that you found of particular interest, importance, have an opinion on, or have decided to argue for or against in the assigned readings or in the class discussions.	The first paragraph articulates a topic that you found of particular interest, importance, have an opinion on, or have decided to argue for or against in the assigned readings or in the class discussions.	The first paragraph contains multiple topics that you found of particular interest, importance, have an opinion on, or have decided to argue for or against in the assigned readings or in the class discussions.	The first paragraph contains no specific topic and it is difficult to foresee what the second paragraph will be based on.
The second paragraph <u>Mark</u> Student: /5	The second paragraph discusses in detail			

Lead by learning alongside your students

I agree with Van de Walle et al.'s (2019) argument that we are not to lead students to the correct answer as this is fundamentally counterproductive to the inquiry based pedagogy that drives "curiosity, perseverance, and inventiveness (Van Van de Walle et al., 2019)." One of the most time consuming aspects of being a new teacher is coming up with 'rich' questions, as described by Van de Walle et al. (2019), that are novel and engaging, and place the emphasis on having students "learn how to learn (Van de Walle et al., 2019)". Regardless of the brilliance of the question we may pose, directing students exactly where we foresee their thought process should go because we believe it is the most effective course we are essentially just masking the "explain-practise-apply method (Van de Walle et al., 2019)" behind the façade of an inquiry question and reverting to a teaching approach that "prevent[s] students from struggling, while saving time (Van de Walle et al., 2019)".

The type of teaching required for successful student lead inquiry necessitates that the teacher "must also be a learner alongside students, actively listening and questioning to make sense of unfamiliar strategies (Van de Walle et al., 2019)", in essence role modeling the inquiry processes. Via the application of this method, it is argued you will gain unmeasurable insight into your student's problem solving approaches (Van de Walle et al., 2019), and are provided with "rich evidence of how students are thinking (Van de Walle et al., 2019)". Through the practice of letting the students lead, you inherently are gaining insight into your own teaching strategy, thought processes, and differences between how you and your students may rationalize a problem. In reference to students Thomas and Brown (2011) argue "what we learn through inquiry can change what we thought we knew before", but this parlays naturally to the teacher being included in the 'we', becoming a learner alongside students in student led inquiry type problems, enriching the insights of learners and teachers alike.

- Van de Walle, J. A., Karp, K., Bay-Williams, J. M., Wray, J. A., & Todd Brown, E. (2019). *Elementary and middle school mathematics: Teaching developmentally*. Pearson.
- Thomas, D., & Brown, J. S. (2011). A new culture of learning: Cultivating the imagination for a world of Constant Change. CreateSpace?